Editorial Reflections Bisphosphonates For Palliation in Cancer - are we responding?

January 1999 Dr. Paul Walker Palliative Care Unit, Edmonton

Bisphosphonates are analogues of inorganic pyrophosphate. Their use is well established for treatment of osteoporosis and Paget's disease. In the oncology setting, clodronate and pamidronate are the drugs of this class that are most frequently used and studied. The mechanism of action of bisphosphonates is inhibition of osteoclast mediated bone resorption caused by cancer. These drugs have been administered both orally and parenterally, however, the oral bioavailability is extremely poor in the order of less than 5%. Over the last 10 years, this field of research has been growing rapidly and more recently well performed randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have been performed. At present RCTs support the use of bisphosphonates to treat hypercalcemia of malignancy and these drugs are now the agents of choice for this condition. Several RCTs have explored the use of bisphosphonates as an adjunct to assist in controlling bone pain [1-4]. These studies have shown significant benefit in reducing bone pain with minimal side effects in patients with different cancers. Prevention of complications due to osteolysis such as pathological fracture, need for palliative radiotherapy, surgery to treat pathological fractures, and spinal cord compression, have been investigated in breast cancer [5-10] and in multiple myeloma [11-14]. In these studies, complications due to osteolysis were significantly reduced as was bone pain. Three studies [15-17] have confirmed benefit of bisphosphonates for treatment of steroid induced osteoporosis. A recent systamatic review [18] and various expert opinions support the use of bisphosphonates as standard therapy in cancer [19,20].

In spite of this level I evidence from multiple RCTs, in our group's clinical practice it is rare that we see bisphosphonates used other than in the setting of hypercalcemia of malignancy. This leads us to speculate that these drugs may be under-utilized for treatment of bone pain, prevention of complications due to osteolysis, and prevention of steroid-induced osteoporosis. It is apparent that the use of bisphosphonates has increased with the publication of the previously mentioned trials, however it is troubling that many patients are still denied the proven palliative benefits.

As with any new finding it takes time for changes in practice to occur (until recently [14,21] a survival benefit had not been shown, which has now prompted more excitement among the oncology community). However, with the large amount of evidence accumulated it is time to go forward with guidelines for the use of these agents in order to promote palliation. To this end it would be desirable that the groups responsible for cancer care convene to determine consensus guidelines. Standard chemotherapy agents have been utilized with less evidence than we now have for a beneficial effect of bisphosphonates.

Costs remain a considerable concern as these agents are expensive. It is significant praise for the effectiveness of these drugs that others have suggested that cost reductions could occur through

decreases in hospitalization and need for radiotherapy and surgery. However, a cost saving benefit should not be required for implementation as there are few interventions in medicine that actually save money.

Oral administation of these agents has been effective in many studies [4,7,10-12,15-17]. However, due to the extremely poor oral bioavailability of these agents, parenteral administration is often utilized, especially in treating hypercalcemia of malignancy and acute bone pain. The inconvenience of IV administration represents a further obstacle to providing these benefits to our patients. For some groups monthly intravenous infusions of pamidronate have become the standard, however our group has explored the use of subcutaneous administration of clodronate [22,23], which can be conveniently administered in the home via hypodermoclysis and may offer cost savings.

In summary, an evidence based medicine approach supports the use of bisphosphonates based on level I evidence. The responsibility remains with treating physicians to change our practices and overcome the financial and logistic obstacles to bring these benefits to the cancer sufferer.

References:

- 1. Ernst DS, MacDonald RN, Paterson AHG, Jensen J, Brasher P, Bruera E. A Double-blind crossover trial of intravenous clodronate in metastatic bone pain. J of Pain & Symptom Manage 1992; 7(1):4-11.
- 2. Ernst DS, Brasher P, Hagen N, Paterson AHG, MacDonald RN, Bruera E. A randomized, controlled trial of intravenous clodronate in patients with metastatic bone disease and pain. J Pain & Symptom Manage 1997; 13(6):319-26.
- 3. Vinholes JJF, Purohit OP, Abbey ME, Eastell R, Coleman RE. Relationships between biochemical and symptomatic response in a double-blind randomised trial of pamidronate for metastatic bone disease. Annals of Oncol 1997; 8:1243-50.
- 4. Robertson AG, Reed NS, Ralston SH. Effect of oral clodronate on metastatic bone pain: A double-blind, placebo-controlled study. J of Clin Oncol 1995; 13(9):2427-30.
- 5. Hortobagyi GN, Theriault RL, Porter L, Blayney D, Lipton A, Sinoff C, Wheeler H, et al. Efficacy of pamidronate in reducing skeletal complications in patients with breast cancer and lytic bone metastases. The New Engl J of Med 1996; 335(24):1785-91.
- 6. Hortobagyi G, Theriault RL, Lipton A, Porter L, Blayney D, Sinoff C, et al. Long-term prevention of skeletal complications of metastatic breast cancer with pamidronate. J of Clin Oncol 1998; 16(6):1038-44.
- 7. Paterson AHG, Powles TJ, Kanis JA, McCloskey E, Hanson J, Ashley S. Double-blind controlled trial of oral clodronate in patients with bone metastases from breast cancer. J of Clin Oncol 1993; 11(1):59-65.
- 8. Conte PF, Giannessi PG, Latreille J, Mauriac L, Koliren L, Calabresi F, Ford JM. Delayed progression of bone metastases with pamidronate therapy in breast cancer patients: A randomized, multicenter phase III trial. Annals of Oncl 1994; Suppl 7:S41-44.
- 9. Conte PF, Latreille J, Mauriac L, Calabresi F, Santos R, Campos D, et al. Delay in progression of bone metastases in breast cancer patients treated with intravenous pamidronate results from a multinational randomized controlled trial. J of Clin Oncl 1996; 14(9):2252-59.

- 10. Kanis JA, Powles T, Paterson AHG, McCloskey EV, Ashley S. Clodronate decreases the frequency of skeletal metastases in women with breast cancer. Bone 1996; 19(6):663-7.
- 11. Lahtinen R, Laakso M, Palva I, Virkkunen P, Elomaa I. Randomized, placebo-controlled multicentre trial of clodronate in multiple myeloma. The Lancet 1992; 340(8827):1049-52.
- 12. Laakso M, Lahtinen R, Virkkunen P, Elomaa I. Subgroup and cost-benefit analysis of the Finnish multicentre trial of clodronate in multiple myeloma. Brit J of Haematology 1994; 87:725-29.
- 13. Berenson JR, Lichtenstein A, Porter L, Dimopoulos MA, Bordoni R, George S, et al. Efficacy of pamidronate in reducing skeletal events in patients with advanced multiple myeloma. The New Engl J of Med 1996; 334(8):488-530.
- 14. Berenson JR, Lichtenstein A, Porter L, Dimopoulos A, Bordoni R, George S, et al. Long-term pamidronate treatment of advanced multiple myeloma patients reduces skeletal events. J of Clin Oncl 1998; 16(2):593-602.
- 15. Reid IR, Alexander CJ, King AR, Ibbertson HK. Prevention of steroid-induced osteoporosis with (2-amino-1-hydroxypropylidene)-1, 1-bisphosphonates (APD). The Lancet 1988; 143-46.
- 16. Adachi JD, Benson WG, Brown J, Hanley D, Hodsman A, Josse R. Intermittent etidronate therapy to prevent corticosteroid induced osteoporosis. The New Engl J of Med 1997; 337(6):382-87.
- 17. Saag KG, Emkey R, Schnitzer TJ, Brown JP, Hawkins F, Goemaere S, et al. Alendronate for the prevention and treatment of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. The New Engl J of Med 1998; 339(5):292-98.
- 18. Bloomfield DJ. Should bisphosphonates be part of the standard therapy for patients with multiple myeloma or bone metastases from other cancers? An evidence-based review. J clin Oncol 1998; 16(3):1218-25.
- 19. Paterson AHG. Should bosphosphonates be standard therapy for bone pain? Support Care Cancer 1997; 5:200-4.>
- 20. Body JJ. Bisphosphonates. Europen J of Cancer 1998; 34(2):263-69.
- 21. Diel IJ, Solomayer EF, Costa SD, Gollan C, Goerner R, Wallwiener D, et al. Reduction in new metastases in breast cancer with adjuvant clodronate treatment. New Engl J of Med 1998; 339(6):357-63.
- 22. Walker P, Watanabe S, Lawlor P, Bruera E. Subcutaneous clodronate. The Lancet 1996; 348:345-6.
- 23. Walker P, Watanabe S, Lawlor P, Hanson J, Pereira J, Bruera E. Subcutaneous clodronate: A study evaluating efficacy in hypercalcemia of malignancy and local toxicity. Ann of Oncl 1997; 8:915-16.